Serial No.: 10/749,163

In reply to Office Action mailed January 11, 2007

Page 6 of 6

REMARKS

The claims in the above listed application are the subject of a restriction

requirement. Specifically, the Examiner has asserted that the claims are classified in two

separate groups:

Group I including claims 1-19, and

Group II including claims 20-28

In response, Applicant respectfully elects the claims of Group I, however, objects to

the classification of claims 20-22. As stated by the Examiner, the claims of Group I (i.e., $\,$

identified as claims 1-19), are directed towards a storage disk or media classified in class

369, subclass 275.1+. The Applicant asserts that claims 20-22 are also more properly

classified in this group. Consequently, the Applicant requests examination of claims 1-22.

At this time, Applicant also withdraws claims 23-28, without prejudice to prosecute in a

divisional or continuation application.

secution of this application.

undersigned to expedite the further prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns, please contact the

Craig J. Lervick, Reg. No. 35,244

Customer No. 34205

Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP 45 South Seventh Street, Ste. 3300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 607-7387 Fax: (612) 607-7100